

**Annexure 9 - Event Mean Concentrations (EMCs) for sectors & sub-sectors obtained from international literature**

Location	Land use	Sector equivalent	Sub-Sector equivalent	Turbidity		Nutrients			Toxics				Pathogens	Comment	Reference
				TSS (mg/L)	Nitrate & Nitrite (mg/L)	Ammonia N (mg/L)	Total P (mg/L)	Zinc (mg/L)	Lead (mg/L)	Cadmium (mg/L)	Chromium (mg/L)	Copper (mg/L)	Faecal Coliform (Counts / 100ml)		
General Authorization Limits			GLV	25	15	6	10	0.1	0.01	0.005	0.05	0.01	1000		
			SLV	10	1.5	2	1	0.04	0.006	0.001	0.02	0.002			
Florida	Row crops	Agriculture	Dryland commercial cropland				1							Summary EMCs by land use from 40 reports/studies	Harper (1998) in Lin (2004)
Florida	General agriculture	Agriculture	Dryland commercial cropland	55			0							Summary EMCs by land use from 40 reports/studies	Harper (1998) in Lin (2004)
Texas Coastal	Cropland	Agriculture	Dryland commercial cropland	107	1.6		1	0.02	0.002			0.002			Raird, et al, (1996) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
Florida	Citrus	Agriculture	Dryland commercial cropland (Longer rotation)	16			0							Summary EMCs by land use from 40 reports/studies	Harper (1998) in Lin (2004)
Texas Coastal	Rangeland	Agriculture	Extensive livestock grazing	1	0.4		0	0.01	0.005						Raird, et al, (1996) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
Mid-Atlantic	Forest	Agriculture	Forestry/timber			0	0								Schueler (1987) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
Florida	Pasture	Agriculture	Intensive livestock grazing operations	94			0							Summary EMCs by land use from 40 reports/studies	Harper (1998) in Lin (2004)
North Carolina (Median Values)	Pasture	Agriculture	Intensive livestock grazing operations	84		0	2							Obtained from monitoring six small drainage areas	Line (2002) in Lin (2004).
North Carolina (Mean Values)	Pasture	Agriculture	Intensive livestock grazing operations	151		0	2							Obtained from monitoring six small drainage areas	Line (2002) in Lin (2004).
North Carolina Recommended EMC's	Cropland & Pasture	Agriculture		19	0.4	0	0	0.02	0.003	0.001	0.00	0.005			CH2M Hill (2000) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
North Carolina Recommended EMC's	Institutional	Civic & Social		42	0.7	0	0	0.11	0.010	0.001	0.00	0.015			CH2M Hill (2000) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
West Watershed, Atlanta GA	Institutional	Civic & Social		140		1	0	0.14	0.020	0.005		0.010	1400	Based on a non-statistical review & best professional judgement	CH2M. Hill, WL Jordan & CDM in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
Dallas-Fort Worth Area, Texas (Median Values)	Industrial	Industry		104			0							Data collected from 26 sites	Baldys (1998) in Lin (2004).
Dallas-Fort Worth Area, Texas (Mean Values)	Industrial	Industry		222			0							Data collected from 26 sites	Baldys (1998) in Lin (2004).

Location	Land use	Sector equivalent	Sub-Sector equivalent	Turbidity		Nutrients			Toxics				Pathogens	Comment	Reference
				TSS (mg/L)	Nitrate & Nitrite (mg/L)	Ammonia N (mg/L)	Total P (mg/L)	Zinc (mg/L)	Lead (mg/L)	Cadmium (mg/L)	Chromium (mg/L)	Copper (mg/L)	Faecal Coliform (Counts / 100ml)		
General Authorization Limits			GLV	25	15	6	10	0.1	0.01	0.005	0.05	0.01	1000		
			SLV	10	1.5	2	1	0.04	0.006	0.001	0.02	0.002			
North Carolina Recommended EMC's	Industrial	Industry		58	1.0	1	0	0.21	0.016	0.001	0.01	0.022			CH2M Hill (2000) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
West Watershed, Atlanta GA	Industrial	Industry		88		0	0	0.16	0.020	0.006		0.020	2300	Based on a non-statistical review & best professional judgement	CH2M. Hill, WL Jordan & CDM in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
Florida	Industrial	Industry		94			0							Summary EMCs by land use from 40 reports/studies	Harper (1998) in Lin (2004)
North Carolina (Median Values)	Industrial	Industry		170		0	0							Obtained from monitoring six small drainage areas	Line (2002) in Lin (2004).
North Carolina (Mean Values)	Industrial	Industry		231		0	0							Obtained from monitoring six small drainage areas	Line (2002) in Lin (2004).
Los Angeles County	Light industrial	Industry		404	0.5	0	1							Data from several years monitoring in the county	Los Angeles County Stormwater Monitoring Report (1999) in Lin (2004).
Florida	Industrial	Industry		518			2	0.88	0.397			0.031			Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
Texas Coastal	Industrial	Industry		61	0.3		0	0.25	0.015			0.015			Raird, et al, (1996) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
Florida	Mining	Mining		94			0							Summary EMCs by land use from 40 reports/studies	Harper (1998) in Lin (2004)
Dallas-Fort Worth Area, Texas (Median Values)	Commercial	Mixed Use / Commercial/Retail/Business		52			0							Data collected from 26 sites	Baldys (1998) in Lin (2004).
Dallas-Fort Worth Area, Texas (Mean Values)	Commercial	Mixed Use / Commercial/Retail/Business		60			0							Data collected from 26 sites	Baldys (1998) in Lin (2004).
Minnesota, USA	Commercial / industrial (Min)	Mixed Use / Commercial/Retail/Business		42			0							Ranges of EMCs for various watershed land uses in Twin Cities Area	Brezonic & Stadelmann (2001) in Lin (2004)
Minnesota, USA	Mixed use (Min)	Mixed Use / Commercial/Retail/Business		12			0							Ranges of EMCs for various watershed land uses in Twin Cities Area	Brezonic & Stadelmann (2001) in Lin (2004)
Minnesota, USA	Commercial / industrial (Max)	Mixed Use / Commercial/Retail/Business		418			1							Ranges of EMCs for various watershed land uses in Twin Cities Area	Brezonic & Stadelmann (2001) in Lin (2004)
Minnesota, USA	Mixed use (Max)	Mixed Use / Commercial/Retail/Business		3240			2							Ranges of EMCs for various watershed land uses in Twin Cities Area	Brezonic & Stadelmann (2001) in Lin (2004)

Location	Land use	Sector equivalent	Sub-Sector equivalent	Turbidity		Nutrients			Toxics				Pathogens	Comment	Reference
				TSS (mg/L)	Nitrate & Nitrite (mg/L)	Ammonia N (mg/L)	Total P (mg/L)	Zinc (mg/L)	Lead (mg/L)	Cadmium (mg/L)	Chromium (mg/L)	Copper (mg/L)	Faecal Coliform (Counts / 100ml)		
General Authorization Limits			GLV	25	15	6	10	0.1	0.01	0.005	0.05	0.01	1000		
			SLV	10	1.5	2	1	0.04	0.006	0.001	0.02	0.002			
West Watershed, Atlanta GA	Commercial	Mixed Use / Commercial/Retail/Business		130		1	0	0.12	0.020	0.005		0.010	1400	Based on a non-statistical review & best professional judgement	CH2M. Hill, WL Jordan & CDM in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
Florida	Low-intensity commercial	Mixed Use / Commercial/Retail/Business		81			0							Summary EMCs by land use from 40 reports/studies	Harper (1998) in Lin (2004)
Florida	High-intensity commercial	Mixed Use / Commercial/Retail/Business		94			0							Summary EMCs by land use from 40 reports/studies	Harper (1998) in Lin (2004)
Los Angeles County	Retail commercial	Mixed Use / Commercial/Retail/Business		49	0.7	0	0							Data from several years monitoring in the county	Los Angeles County Stormwater Monitoring Report (1999) in Lin (2004).
Florida	Commercial	Mixed Use / Commercial/Retail/Business		94		2	0	0.35	0.558	0.008		0.231			Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
Washington	Commercial	Mixed Use / Commercial/Retail/Business		92			0	0.17				0.032			Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
North Carolina Recommended EMC's	Commercial & services	Mixed Use / Commercial/Retail/Business		54	0.9	1	0	0.19	0.015	0.001	0.01	0.020			Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
Texas Coastal	Commercial	Mixed Use / Commercial/Retail/Business		56	0.3		0	0.18	0.013			0.015			Raird, et al, (1996) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
Mid-Atlantic	Commercial	Mixed Use / Commercial/Retail/Business						0.25	0.370						Schueler (1987) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
National	Mixed	Mixed Use / Commercial/Retail/Business		67	0.6		0	0.15	0.114			0.027		Data from 81 urban sites in 28 metropolitan areas	U.S. EPA (1983) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
National	Commercial	Mixed Use / Commercial/Retail/Business		69	0.6		0	0.23	0.104			0.029		Data from 81 urban sites in 28 metropolitan areas	U.S. EPA (1983) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
West Watershed, Atlanta GA	Construction Area	N/A	Construction	1000		0	0	0.40	0.020	0.008		0.050	1000	Based on a non-statistical review & best professional judgement	CH2M. Hill, WL Jordan & CDM in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
North Carolina (Median Values)	Construction - initial phase (earth moving)	N/A	Construction	2143		0	0							Obtained from monitoring six small drainage areas	Line (2002) in Lin (2004).
North Carolina (Mean Values)	Construction - initial phase (earth moving)	N/A	Construction	3491		0	0							Obtained from monitoring six small drainage areas	Line (2002) in Lin (2004).
North Carolina (Median)	Construction - second phase (instalation of	N/A	Construction	985		0	0							Obtained from monitoring six small drainage areas	Line (2002) in Lin (2004).

Location	Land use	Sector equivalent	Sub-Sector equivalent	Turbidity		Nutrients			Toxics				Pathogens	Comment	Reference
				TSS (mg/L)	Nitrate & Nitrite (mg/L)	Ammonia N (mg/L)	Total P (mg/L)	Zinc (mg/L)	Lead (mg/L)	Cadmium (mg/L)	Chromium (mg/L)	Copper (mg/L)	Faecal Coliform (Counts / 100ml)		
General Authorization Limits			GLV	25	15	6	10	0.1	0.01	0.005	0.05	0.01	1000		
			SLV	10	1.5	2	1	0.04	0.006	0.001	0.02	0.002			
Values)	roads, storm drains etc)														
North Carolina (Mean Values)	Construction - second phase (instalation of roads, storm drains etc)	N/A	Construction	1453		1	0							Obtained from monitoring six small drainage areas	Line (2002) in Lin (2004).
Nationwide Urban Runoff Quality Database (Mean values)	Urban	N/A	Urban - general	78	0.7		0	162.00	67.500			13.500			Smullen, <i>et al.</i> (1999) in Lin (2004).
Nationwide Urban Runoff Quality Database (Median values)	Urban	N/A	Urban - general	55	0.5		0	129.00	50.700			11.100		Data measured at 97 urban sites located in 21 metropolitan areas	Smullen, <i>et al.</i> (1999) in Lin (2004).
North Carolina (Median Values)	Golf Course	Open Space	Golf courses	150		0	1							Obtained from monitoring six small drainage areas	Line (2002) in Lin (2004).
North Carolina (Mean Values)	Golf Course	Open Space	Golf courses	202		1	1							Obtained from monitoring six small drainage areas	Line (2002) in Lin (2004).
North Carolina Recommended EMC's	Urban Open	Open Space	Parks & gardens	20	0.4	0	0	0.03	0.003	0.001	0.00	0.006			Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
Florida	Open Space	Open Space		11			0							Summary EMCs by land use from 40 reports/studies	Harper (1998) in Lin (2004)
Florida	Wetland	Open Space		10			0							Summary EMCs by land use from 40 reports/studies	Harper (1998) in Lin (2004)
Florida	Open water/lake	Open Space		3			0							Summary EMCs by land use from 40 reports/studies	Harper (1998) in Lin (2004)
North Carolina (Median Values)	Wooded	Open Space		113		0	0							Obtained from monitoring six small drainage areas	Line (2002) in Lin (2004).
North Carolina (Mean Values)	Wooded	Open Space		487		0	0							Obtained from monitoring six small drainage areas	Line (2002) in Lin (2004).
Los Angeles County	Vacant	Open Space		97	0.9	0	0							Data from several years monitoring in the county	Los Angeles County Stormwater Monitoring Report (1999) in Lin (2004).

Location	Land use	Sector equivalent	Sub-Sector equivalent	Turbidity		Nutrients			Toxics				Pathogens		Comment	Reference
				TSS (mg/L)	Nitrate & Nitrite (mg/L)	Ammonia N (mg/L)	Total P (mg/L)	Zinc (mg/L)	Lead (mg/L)	Cadmium (mg/L)	Chromium (mg/L)	Copper (mg/L)	Faecal Coliform (Counts / 100ml)			
General Authorization Limits			GLV	25	15	6	10	0.1	0.01	0.005	0.05	0.01	1000			
			SLV	10	1.5	2	1	0.04	0.006	0.001	0.02	0.002				
National	Open/Nonurban	Open Space		70	0.5		0	0.20	0.030						Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)	
Texas Coastal	Undev/Open	Open Space		70	0.5		0		0.002						Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)	
West Watershed, Atlanta GA	Forest/Open Space	Open Space		70		0	0	0.04	0.000	0.000		0.000	500	Based on a non-statistical review & best professional judgement	Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)	
West Watershed, Atlanta GA	Water/Wetland	Open Space		70		0	0	0.20	0.000	0.000		0.000	500	Based on a non-statistical review & best professional judgement	Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)	
Florida	Open/Recreation	Open Space		11			0	0.01							Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)	
Washington	Open	Open Space		58			0	0.03				0.004			Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)	
North Carolina Recommended EMC's	Forested Wetland	Open Space		19	0.4	0	0	0.02	0.003	0.001	0.00	0.005			Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)	
North Carolina Recommended EMC's	Upland Forest	Open Space		20	0.4	0	0	0.02	0.003	0.001	0.00	0.006			Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)	
Los Angeles County	High-density residential	Residential	High density urban / Residential High Impact	82	0.4	0	0							Data from several years monitoring in the county	Los Angeles County Stormwater Monitoring Report (1999) in Lin (2004).	
West Watershed, Atlanta GA	Residential-High	Residential	High density urban / Residential High Impact	180		0	0	0.10	0.030	0.005		0.030	8700	Based on a non-statistical review & best professional judgement	Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)	
West Watershed, Atlanta GA	Multifamily Residential	Residential	High density urban / Residential High Impact	180		0	0	0.10	0.030	0.005		0.030	8700	Based on a non-statistical review & best professional judgement	Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)	
North Carolina Recommended EMC's	High Density Residential	Residential	High density urban / Residential High Impact	48	0.8	0	0	0.15	0.012	0.001	0.00	0.017			Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)	
North Carolina Recommended	Multifamily Density Res	Residential	High density urban / Residential High Impact	48	0.8	0	0	0.15	0.012	0.001	0.00	0.017			Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental	

Location	Land use	Sector equivalent	Sub-Sector equivalent	Turbidity		Nutrients			Toxics				Pathogens	Comment	Reference
				TSS (mg/L)	Nitrate & Nitrite (mg/L)	Ammonia N (mg/L)	Total P (mg/L)	Zinc (mg/L)	Lead (mg/L)	Cadmium (mg/L)	Chromium (mg/L)	Copper (mg/L)	Faecal Coliform (Counts / 100ml)		
General Authorization Limits			GLV	25	15	6	10	0.1	0.01	0.005	0.05	0.01	1000		
			SLV	10	1.5	2	1	0.04	0.006	0.001	0.02	0.002			
ed EMC's															Protection Agency (2001)
Minnesota, USA	Urban residential <= 40ha (Min)	Residential	Residential Low impact / Residential only	16			0							Ranges of EMCs for various watershed land uses in Twin Cities Area	Brezonic & Stadelmann (2001) in Lin (2004)
Minnesota, USA	Urban Residential >= 40Ha (Min)	Residential	Residential Low impact / Residential only	3			0							Ranges of EMCs for various watershed land uses in Twin Cities Area	Brezonic & Stadelmann (2001) in Lin (2004)
Minnesota, USA	Urban residential <= 40ha (Max)	Residential	Residential Low impact / Residential only	636			2							Ranges of EMCs for various watershed land uses in Twin Cities Area	Brezonic & Stadelmann (2001) in Lin (2004)
Minnesota, USA	Urban Residential >= 40Ha (Max)	Residential	Residential Low impact / Residential only	1570			4							Ranges of EMCs for various watershed land uses in Twin Cities Area	Brezonic & Stadelmann (2001) in Lin (2004)
Florida	Low-density residential	Residential	Residential Low impact / Residential only	19			0							Summary EMCs by land use from 40 reports/studies	Harper (1998) in Lin (2004)
Florida	Single family residential	Residential	Residential Low impact / Residential only	27			0							Summary EMCs by land use from 40 reports/studies	Harper (1998) in Lin (2004)
West Watershed, Atlanta GA	Residential-Low	Residential	Residential Low impact / Residential only	180		0	0	0.10	0.030	0.005		0.030	8700	Based on a non-statistical review & best professional judgement	Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
North Carolina Recommended EMC's	Un-sewered Low Density Res.	Residential	Residential Low impact / Residential only	21	0.4	0	0	0.03	0.003	0.001	0.00	0.006			Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
North Carolina Recommended EMC's	Low Density Res.	Residential	Residential Low impact / Residential only	22	0.4	0	0	0.03	0.004	0.001	0.00	0.007			Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
Minnesota, USA	Suburban residential <=40Ha (Min)	Residential	Residential Medium Impact	7			0							Ranges of EMCs for various watershed land uses in Twin Cities Area	Brezonic & Stadelmann (2001) in Lin (2004)
Minnesota, USA	Suburban residential >=40Ha (Min)	Residential	Residential Medium Impact	6			0							Ranges of EMCs for various watershed land uses in Twin Cities Area	Brezonic & Stadelmann (2001) in Lin (2004)
Minnesota, USA	Suburban residential <=40Ha (Max)	Residential	Residential Medium Impact	3577			9							Ranges of EMCs for various watershed land uses in Twin Cities Area	Brezonic & Stadelmann (2001) in Lin (2004)
Minnesota, USA	Suburban residential >=40Ha (Max)	Residential	Residential Medium Impact	2400			3							Ranges of EMCs for various watershed land uses in Twin Cities Area	Brezonic & Stadelmann (2001) in Lin (2004)
Florida	Multi-family residential	Residential	Residential Medium Impact	72			0							Summary EMCs by land use from 40 reports/studies	Harper (1998) in Lin (2004)
Los Angeles County	Multi-family residential	Residential	Residential Medium Impact	31	0.3	0	0							Data from several years monitoring in the county	Los Angeles County Stormwater Monitoring Report (1999) in Lin (2004).

Location	Land use	Sector equivalent	Sub-Sector equivalent	Turbidity		Nutrients			Toxics				Pathogens	Comment	Reference
				TSS (mg/L)	Nitrate & Nitrite (mg/L)	Ammonia N (mg/L)	Total P (mg/L)	Zinc (mg/L)	Lead (mg/L)	Cadmium (mg/L)	Chromium (mg/L)	Copper (mg/L)	Faecal Coliform (Counts / 100ml)		
General Authorization Limits			GLV	25	15	6	10	0.1	0.01	0.005	0.05	0.01	1000		
			SLV	10	1.5	2	1	0.04	0.006	0.001	0.02	0.002			
Los Angeles County	Mixed residential	Residential	Residential Medium Impact	65	0.4	0	0							Data from several years monitoring in the county	Los Angeles County Stormwater Monitoring Report (1999) in Lin (2004).
West Watershed, Atlanta GA	Residential-Medium	Residential	Residential Medium Impact	180		0	0	0.10	0.030	0.005		0.030	8700	Based on a non-statistical review & best professional judgement	Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
North Carolina Recommended EMC's	Medium Density Res.	Residential	Residential Medium Impact	31	0.6	0	0	0.06	0.006	0.001	0.00	0.010			Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
North Carolina Recommended EMC's	Un-sewered Medium Density Residential	Residential	Residential Medium Impact	31	0.6	0	0	0.06	0.006	0.001	0.00	0.010			Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
Mid-Atlantic	Suburban	Residential	Residential Medium Impact			0	0	0.04	0.018						Schueler (1987) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
Dallas-Fort Worth Area, Texas (Median Values)	Residential	Residential		78			0							Data collected from 26 sites	Baldys (1998) in Lin (2004).
Dallas-Fort Worth Area, Texas (Mean Values)	Residential	Residential		127			0							Data collected from 26 sites	Baldys (1998) in Lin (2004).
North Carolina (Median Values)	Residential	Residential		42		0	0							Obtained from monitoring six small drainage areas	Line (2002) in Lin (2004).
North Carolina (Mean Values)	Residential	Residential		73		1	1							Obtained from monitoring six small drainage areas	Line (2002) in Lin (2004).
National	Residential	Residential		101	0.7		0	0.14	0.144			0.033			Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
Mid-Atlantic	Urban	Residential				1	1	0.40	0.389			0.105			Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
Texas Coastal	Residential	Residential		41	0.2		1	0.08	0.009			0.015			Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
Florida	Residential	Residential		47		2	1	0.06	0.104	0.004		0.016			Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental

Location	Land use	Sector equivalent	Sub-Sector equivalent	Turbidity		Nutrients			Toxics				Pathogens	Comment	Reference
				TSS (mg/L)	Nitrate & Nitrite (mg/L)	Ammonia N (mg/L)	Total P (mg/L)	Zinc (mg/L)	Lead (mg/L)	Cadmium (mg/L)	Chromium (mg/L)	Copper (mg/L)	Faecal Coliform (Counts / 100ml)		
General Authorization Limits			GLV	25	15	6	10	0.1	0.01	0.005	0.05	0.01	1000		
			SLV	10	1.5	2	1	0.04	0.006	0.001	0.02	0.002			
															Protection Agency (2001)
Washington	Residential	Residential		64			0	0.11				0.014			Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
North Carolina Recommended EMC's	Communications & Utilities	Service Infrastructure		21	0.4	0	0	0.03	0.003	0.001	0.00	0.006			Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
North Carolina Recommended EMC's	Highways	Transportation	Paved Roads	58	1.0	1	0	0.21	0.016	0.001	0.01	0.022			CH2M Hill (2000) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
Florida	Highway	Transportation	Paved Roads	50			0							Summary EMCs by land use from 40 reports/studies	Harper (1998) in Lin (2004)
Mid-Atlantic	Highway	Transportation	Paved Roads					0.38	0.550						Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
West Watershed, Atlanta GA	Highway	Transportation	Paved Roads	140		1	0	0.14	0.020	0.005		0.010	1400	Based on a non-statistical review & best professional judgement	Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
Florida	Highway	Transportation	Paved Roads	39			0	0.19	0.399	0.007		0.036			Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
North Carolina Recommended EMC's	Transitional/Construction	Transportation		27	0.5	0	0	0.05	0.005	0.001	0.00	0.008			CH2M Hill (2000) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
Texas Coastal	Transportation	Transportation		74	0.6		0	0.06	0.011			0.011			Northeast Florida Water management District (1994) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)
Washington	Transportation	Transportation		169			0	0.24				0.035			Washington State department of Ecology (2000) in Environmental Protection Agency (2001)



## References:

- Baldys, S., Raines, T.H., Mansfield, B.L., Sandlin, J.T. (1998). Urban stormwater quality, event-mean concentrations, and estimates of freshwater pollutant loads. Dallas-Fort Worth area, Texas, 1992 – 1993. U.S. Geological survey Water Resources Investigation Report 98-4148.
- Brezonic, P.L., Stadelman, T.H. (2001). Analysis and Predictive models of stormwater runoff volumes, loads, and pollutant concentrations from watersheds in the Twin Cities metropolitan area, Minnesota, USA. *Water Research* 36, 1743 – 1759.
- CH2M HILL, 2000. Technical Memorandum 1, Urban Stormwater Pollution Assessment, prepared for North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Division of Water Quality, August, 2000.
- CH2M HILL. Urban Stormwater Pollutant Event Mean Concentrations (EMCs) and Annual Runoff Coefficients for Calculation of Annual Pollutant Loads - Task F1 - "Pollutant Loadings", DERM Stormwater Management Master Plan, Phase I (C-9 East Basin Study). Prepared for the Metropolitan Dade County Department of Environmental Resources Management, Miami, FL. December 1, 1993.
- Harper, H.H. (1998). Stormwater Chemistry and water quality. <http://www.stormwater-resources.com/Library/045PICChemistry.pdf>
- Lin, J.P. (2004). Review of published export coefficient and event mean concentration (EMC) data. Wetlands Regulatory Assistance Program. September 2004.
- Line, D.E., White, N.M., Osmond, D.L., Jennings, G.D., Mojonier, C.B. (2002). Pollutant export from various land uses in the upper Neuse River Basin. *Water Environment Research* 74, 100 – 108.
- Los Angeles County Stormwater Monitoring Report: 1998 – 1999. <http://ladpw.org/wmd/NPDES/9899TC.cfm>
- Northeast Florida Water Management District, (1994). St. Marks and Wakulla Rivers Resource Assessment and Greenway Protection Plan, September 1994. Appendix 4.
- Raird, C., Jennings, M., Ockerman, D., Dybale, T. (1996). Characterization of nonpoint sources and loadings to Corpus Christi Bay National Estuary Program study Area, Corpus Christi Bay National Estuary Program/USGS/NRCS; CCBNEP-05, January 1996.
- Schueler, T.R. (1997). Controlling Urban Runoff: A Practical Manual for Planning and Designing Urban BMPs. Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, July 1997.
- Smullen, J.T., Shallcross, A.L., Cave, K.A. (1999). Updating US Nationwide urban runoff quality database. *Water Science Technology* 39, 9 – 16.

U.S. EPA (1983). Results of Nationwide Urban Runoff Program, volume 1- Final Report. US Environmental Protection Agency, PB84-185552, December 1983.

U.S. EPA (2001). National primary drinking water regulations: arsenic and clarifications to compliance and new source contaminants monitoring. Washington D.C.